

Review of functoriality and some topological preliminaries for the base change theorem.

§1 Review Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map.

(i)  $f_*: S_X \rightarrow S_Y$  is a left exact functor which maps injectives to injectives.  
(A special case:  $A \hookrightarrow X$  closed embedding,  $i_*$  is an exact functor.)

(ii)  $f^*: S_Y \rightarrow S_X$  is an exact functor.

[Recall two basic, and possibly confusing, facts:-

• Definition of  $f^*$  :-  $f^*g$  is the sheafification of the presheaf  $U \mapsto f^*g(U)$

$$f^*g(U) = \varinjlim_{f(V) \subset U} g(V), \quad (f^*g)_x = g_{f(x)}$$

$$\Rightarrow (f^*g)(U) = \left\{ \tilde{s}: U \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} g_{f(x)} \mid \begin{array}{l} \tilde{s}(x) \in g_{f(x)} \\ \forall x \in U, \exists V_x \text{-open, } x \in V_x \subset U \\ \exists W_x \subset V_x, f(W_x) \subset W_x \\ \exists t \in g(W_x) \text{ s.t.} \\ \tilde{s}|_{W_x} = t \end{array} \right\}$$

• Adjunction formula

$$\text{Hom}_{S_X}(f^*g, F) = \text{Hom}_{S_Y}(g, f_*F)$$

(iii) Functoriality - I.

Any continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  induces a homomorphism

$$H^q(Y, \mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow H^q(X, f^*\mathcal{G})$$

for any sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $Y$ .

Note:- For  $v=0$ , This means that we have a homomorphism

$$G(Y) \longrightarrow f^*G(X).$$

If  $s \in G(Y)$  then define  $\tilde{s} : X \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in X} G_{f(x)}$  as  $\tilde{s}(x) = s_{f(x)}$  (

where  $s_{f(x)}$  = germ at  $f(x)$  determined by  $(s, Y)$ .

### (i) Functoriality - II

$f : X \longrightarrow Y$  cont.

$A$  - abelian group

$$H^v(Y, A) \longrightarrow H^v(X, A).$$

In other words,  $X \longmapsto H^v(X, A)$  is a contravariant functor from  $TOP \longrightarrow AB$ .

### (ii) Functoriality - III

$f : X \longrightarrow Y$  cont.

$\mathcal{F}$  - sheaf on  $X$

$$H^v(Y, f_*\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^v(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

This is because, functoriality says we have  $H^v(Y, f_*\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^v(X, f^*f_*\mathcal{F})$

Adjointness formula  $\Rightarrow \text{Hom}_{S_x}(f^*f_*\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Hom}_{S_y}(f_*\mathcal{F}, f_*\mathcal{F}) \ni 1$ .

$\Rightarrow \exists$  canonical map  $f^*f_*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}$

$\Rightarrow \exists$  " "  $H^v(X, f^*f_*\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^v(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

### (iii) Derived functors of $f_*$ :-

Since  $f_* : S_x \longrightarrow S_y$  is a left exact functor we can consider its right derived functors  $R^v f_*$

Defn:-  $R^v f_*(\mathcal{F}) = H^v(f_* \mathcal{I}^0)$

where  $0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}^n \longrightarrow \dots$  (

is an injective resolution of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Theorem

Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map and  $\mathcal{F}$ -sheaf on  $X$ .

Suppose  $R^q f_* (\mathcal{F}) = 0 \quad \forall q \geq 1$

Then  $H^q(Y, f_* \mathcal{F}) \cong H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

Proof:- Let  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{J}^n \rightarrow \dots$  be an injective resolution of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Consider  $0 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{J}^0 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{J}^1 \rightarrow \dots$

$R^q f_* (\mathcal{F}) = 0 \Rightarrow H^q(f_* \mathcal{J}^0 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{J}^1 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{J}^2 \rightarrow \dots) = 0 \quad \forall q \geq 1$

$\Rightarrow f_* \mathcal{J}^1 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{J}^2 \rightarrow \dots$  is exact

$f_*$  - left exact

$\Rightarrow 0 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{J}^0 \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{J}^1 \rightarrow \dots$  is an injective resolution of  $f_* \mathcal{F}$ .

To get  $H^q(Y, f_* \mathcal{F})$ , apply  $\Gamma(Y, -)$  and take cohomology:-

So consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 f_* \mathcal{J}^0(Y) & \rightarrow & f_* \mathcal{J}^1(Y) & \rightarrow & \dots & \rightarrow & f_* \mathcal{J}^n(Y) \rightarrow \dots \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & & & \\
 \mathcal{J}^0(f^{-1}(Y)) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{J}^1(f^{-1}(Y)) & \rightarrow & \dots & & \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & & & \\
 \mathcal{J}^0(X) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{J}^1(X) & \rightarrow & \dots & & 
 \end{array}$$

$H^q(Y, f_* \mathcal{F}) = H^q(f_* \mathcal{J}^0(Y)) = H^q(\mathcal{J}^0(X)) = H^q(X, \mathcal{F})$ .

Corollary :-

Let  $A \xrightarrow{i} X$  be a closed embedding.

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a sheaf on  $A$ . Then

$$H^q(X, i_* \mathcal{F}) = H^q(A, \mathcal{F}).$$

Pf:-  $A \xrightarrow{i} X$  closed embedding  $\Rightarrow i_*$  - is exact

$$\Rightarrow R^q i_*(\mathcal{F}) = 0 \quad \forall q \geq 1.$$

~~QED~~

§3.

Basic Problem:-

• How to determine if  $R^q f_*(\mathcal{F}) = 0$  ?

↳ or, more generally,

• How to compute  $R^q f_*(\mathcal{F})$  ?

Answer (Base change theorem) :-

If  $X$  &  $Y$  are reasonably nice (This will be made precise)

and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a "proper map". Then we can compute the stalks of the derived functors  $R^q f_*(\mathcal{F})$  via:-

$$R^q f_*(\mathcal{F})_y \cong H^q(f^{-1}(y), i_y^* \mathcal{F}).$$

when  $i_y: f^{-1}(y) \hookrightarrow X$

## §4 Some basic point-set topology:-

○ Defn:- A top. space  $X$  is locally compact if every point has a relatively compact neighbourhood. i.e.,

$$\forall x \in X, \exists U \subset X \text{ open } \rightarrow x \in U \text{ and } \bar{U} \text{ is compact.}$$

(Ex:- If  $X$  is locally compact and Hausdorff, then show that the set of relatively compact neighbourhoods at  $x \in X$  forms a basis at  $x$ .)

○ Defn:- Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map between top. spaces. We say  $f$  is a proper map if it pulls back compact sets to compact sets. i.e., if  $K \subset Y$  is compact then  $f^{-1}(K)$  is compact.

Example:- Let  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $X \times [0, 1] \xrightarrow{p_1} X$  is a proper map.

○  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1 \quad t \mapsto e^{2\pi i t}$  is not proper.

(Ex:- Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a ~~map~~<sup>continuous</sup> map of locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Let  $X^+, Y^+$  be the one-point compactifications of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Show that  $f$  is proper  $\iff$   $f$  extends to a continuous map  $f^+: X^+ \rightarrow Y^+$ .)

○ Defn:- Let  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  be an open covering.

We say that it is a locally finite covering if

$\forall x \in X, \exists$  open set  $V_x, x \in V_x$ , such that  $V_x \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$  for only finitely many  $i$ .

Defn: - (i) A refinement of an open cover  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  is another open cover  $X = \bigcup_{j \in J} V_j$  such that each  $V_j$  is in some  $U_i$ , i.e.,  $\exists$  function  $z: J \rightarrow I$  such that  $V_j \subset U_{z(j)} \forall j \in J$ . (

(ii) The refinement  $X = \bigcup_{j \in J} V_j$  of  $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$  is said to be a strong refinement if  $\forall j \quad \overline{V_j} \subset U_{z(j)}$ .

Defn: -  $X$  is paracompact if every open cover has a locally finite refinement.

(Note: - In Hatcher's book, paracompactness is defined as: - every open cover of any open set has a locally finite refinement.)

Ex: - If  $X$  is exhaustible by compact subsets, i.e., if  $\exists$  sequence of compact subsets  $K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \dots \subset K_n \subset \dots$  such that  $X = \bigcup K_n$  and for all  $n$ ,  $K_n \subset \text{int}(K_{n+1})$  then  $X$  is paracompact (