

Provided for non-commercial research and education use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/authorsrights>



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Functional Analysis

www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa

Global existence and decay of smooth solution for the 2-D MHD equations without magnetic diffusion

Xiaoxia Ren^a, Jiahong Wu^{b,c,*}, Zhaoyin Xiang^d, Zhifei Zhang^e^a School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, 100871, Beijing, PR China^b Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University,
401 Mathematical Sciences, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA^c Department of Mathematics, Chung-Ang University, Seoul 156-756, South Korea^d School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Electronic Science and
Technology of China, 610054, Chengdu, PR China^e School of Mathematical Sciences and LMAM, Peking University, 100871, Beijing,
PR China

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history:

Received 9 November 2013

Accepted 29 April 2014

Available online 16 May 2014

Communicated by C. De Lellis

We prove the global existence and the decay estimates of small smooth solution for the 2-D MHD equations without magnetic diffusion. This confirms the numerical observation that the energy of the MHD equations is dissipated at a rate independent of the ohmic resistivity.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords:

MHD equations

Global existence

Large-time decay rates

Partial dissipation

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: xiaoxiaren1987@163.com (X. Ren), jiahong@math.okstate.edu (J. Wu), zxiang@uestc.edu.cn (Z. Xiang), zfzhang@math.pku.edu.cn (Z. Zhang).

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the 2-D MHD equations without magnetic diffusion

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u - b \cdot \nabla b + \nabla \pi = 0, \\ \partial_t b + u \cdot \nabla b - b \cdot \nabla u = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} b = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here u is the velocity field, b is the magnetic field and π is the pressure. Eqs. (1.1) can be applied to plasmas when the plasmas are strongly collisional, or the resistivity due to these collisions are extremely small [2].

It is well-known that the 2-D MHD equations have the global smooth solution when the magnetic diffusion is included. In the case without magnetic diffusion, the question of whether smooth solution of the 2-D MHD equations develops singularity in finite time is open [13,7]. Recent important progress has been obtained by Cao, Regmi and Wu [5,4], where the authors studied the global regularity of the 2-D MHD equations with partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion. Lei [9] studied the global regularity for the axially symmetric MHD equations with nontrivial magnetic fields.

Due to $\operatorname{div} b = 0$, there exists a potential function ϕ such that

$$b = (\partial_{x_2} \phi, -\partial_{x_1} \phi).$$

In terms of ϕ , the MHD equations (1.1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \phi + u \cdot \nabla \phi = 0, \\ \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u + \operatorname{div}(\nabla \phi \otimes \nabla \phi) + \nabla p = 0, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $p = \pi - |\nabla \phi|^2$. In a recent remarkable paper [10], Lin, Xu and Zhang proved the global existence of smooth solution of the system (1.2) around the trivial solution $(x_2, 0)$ (see [11] for 3-D case). By using the Lagrangian coordinates system, they first transform (1.2) into a damped wave system with partial dissipation like

$$Y_{tt} - \Delta Y_t - \partial_{x_1}^2 Y = f(Y, Y_t, \nabla Y).$$

Due to the partial dissipation, the solution has weak dissipation. Roughly speaking, the solution Y behaves as

$$\hat{Y}(t, \xi) \sim a(\xi) e^{t\lambda_+(\xi)} + b(\xi) e^{t\lambda_-(\xi)},$$

where as $|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\lambda_-(\xi) \rightarrow -\frac{\xi_1^2}{|\xi|^2} \sim \begin{cases} -1, & |\xi| \sim |\xi_1|, \\ 0, & |\xi| \gg |\xi_1|. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the dissipation is very weak in the case of $|\xi| \gg |\xi_1|$. This simple analysis reveals the anisotropy of the eigenvalues $\lambda_+(\xi)$ and $\lambda_-(\xi)$, which signals the dependence of the time decay rates on the spatial directions.

This anisotropy has prompted [10] to use the anisotropic Littlewood–Paley decomposition as well as anisotropic Besov spaces in order to capture the weak dissipation. The anisotropic Besov type spaces appear to be essential in handling the anisotropic regularity in transport equations. Due to the use of the Lagrangian coordinates, [10] imposed an admissible condition on the initial data ψ_0 in the sense that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_{x_2} \psi_0)(X(t, x)) dt = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $X(t, x)$ is the integral curve of the vector field $(1 + \partial_{x_2} \psi_0, -\partial_{x_1} \psi_0)$. It seems unclear what the physical interpretation of the admissible condition is.

The aim of this paper is two-fold: first, to establish the global existence of small solutions to (1.2) without imposing the admissible condition on the initial data, and second, to rigorously confirm the numerical observation that the energy of the MHD equations is dissipated at a rate independent of the ohmic resistivity [3]. Our second goal is achieved by providing explicit time decay rates for various Sobolev norms of the solutions. These rates are identical to those for the linearized equations. Our main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\psi = \phi - x_2$. Assume that the initial data (ψ_0, u_0) satisfies $(\nabla \psi_0, u_0) \in H^8(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, there exist two small positive constants ϵ, ε such that if $(\nabla \psi_0, u_0) \in H^{-s, -s} \cap H^{-s, 8}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ with $s = \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ and*

$$\|(\nabla \psi_0, u_0)\|_{H^8} + \|(\nabla \psi_0, u_0)\|_{H^{-s, -s}} + \|(\nabla \psi_0, u_0)\|_{H^{-s, 8}} \leq \varepsilon,$$

then the MHD system (1.2) has a unique global solution (ψ, u) satisfying

$$(\nabla \psi, u) \in C([0, +\infty); H^8(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

Moreover, it holds that

$$\|\partial_{x_1}^k \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_{x_1}^k u\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{s+k}{2}} \tag{1.3}$$

for any $t \in [0, +\infty)$ and $k = 0, 1, 2$. Here $H^{\sigma, s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the homogeneous anisotropic Sobolev space, whose norm is defined by

$$\|f\|_{H^{\sigma, s}} = \||D|^s |D_1|^\sigma f\|_{L^2}.$$

Remark 1.1. (1) The decay estimates for the nonlinear equation are consistent with those of the linear equation obtained in Proposition 2.1, where the anisotropic Sobolev space plays the essential role.

(2) Due to $s < \frac{1}{2}$, $(\nabla \psi_0, u_0) \in H^{-s, -s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ if $(\nabla \psi_0, u_0) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

In order to prove [Theorem 1.1](#), we first analyze the solutions of the linearized equations. Especially we obtain explicit time decay rates for the energy and various Sobolev norms. The estimates for the nonlinear equations are more complex. The main difficulty is that the system has a weak dissipation so that it is difficult to control the growth of nonlinear terms. For example, the linear decay estimates do not insure that $\int_0^{+\infty} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} dt$ is finite, which is important to control the growth of ψ , since it satisfies a transport equation and its H^s norm is bounded as

$$\|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{H^s} \leq \|\nabla \psi_0\|_{H^s} \exp\left(\int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} d\tau\right) + \dots$$

To control the growth of nonlinear terms, we will use in a crucial way the anisotropic Sobolev space and the special structure of nonlinear terms. First of all, under the assumption that the solution is bounded in the anisotropic Sobolev spaces, one can show that the energy of the solution has some kind of decay in time like [\(1.3\)](#). Then we use the obtained decay estimates to prove that the solution is indeed bounded in the anisotropic Sobolev spaces with a refined bound. Thus, the theorem follows from a continuous argument.

2. Decay estimate of the linear equation

2.1. Decay of the linearized MHD equations

Introduce the perturbation $\psi = \phi - x_2$. The system [\(1.2\)](#) is transformed into the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi + u^2 = -u \cdot \nabla \psi, \\ \partial_t u^1 - \Delta u^1 - \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \psi = -u \cdot \nabla u^1 - \operatorname{div}(\nabla \psi \partial_{x_1} \psi) - \partial_{x_1} p, \\ \partial_t u^2 - \Delta u^2 + \partial_{x_1}^2 \psi = -u \cdot \nabla u^2 - \operatorname{div}(\nabla \psi \partial_{x_2} \psi) - \partial_{x_2} p, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where the pressure p is determined by

$$p = (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} (u^i u^j) + (-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{x_j} (\partial_{x_i} \psi \partial_{x_j} \psi) \triangleq p_1 + p_2. \quad (2.2)$$

Specifically, the linearized MHD equation takes the form

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi + u^2 = 0, \\ \partial_t u^1 - \Delta u^1 - \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \psi = 0, \\ \partial_t u^2 - \Delta u^2 + \partial_{x_1}^2 \psi = 0, \\ \psi|_{t=0} = \psi_0, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Now let us study the energy decay of the linearized equation by using the energy method, which will be used to analyze the nonlinear system. The idea is partially motivated by the paper [8] on the viscous surface waves.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that the initial data $(\nabla\psi_0, u_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $(|D_1|^{-s}\nabla\psi_0, |D_1|^{-s}u_0) \in H^{1+s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $s > 0$. Then it holds that*

$$\|u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}}.$$

Proof. We introduce

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &\triangleq \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\epsilon_1\langle u^2, \Delta\psi \rangle, \\ D(t) &\triangleq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_1\|\nabla\partial_{x_1}\psi\|_{L^2}^2 - \epsilon_1\|\nabla u^2\|_{L^2}^2 - \epsilon_1\langle \Delta u^2, \Delta\psi \rangle, \\ E_s(t) &\triangleq \||D_1|^{-s}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \||D_1|^{-s}\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \||D|^{1+s}|D_1|^{-s}u\|_{L^2}^2 + \||D|^{1+s}|D_1|^{-s}\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_1 > 0$ is taken such that for some $c > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &\geq c(\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\psi\|_{L^2}^2), \\ D(t) &\geq c(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\partial_{x_1}\psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta u^2, \Delta\psi \rangle &= \langle \partial_{x_1}^2 u^2, \Delta\psi \rangle - \langle \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} u^1, \Delta\psi \rangle \\ &\leq \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla\partial_{x_1}\psi\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Direct energy estimates yield that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} E(t) + D(t) \leq 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} E_s(t) \leq 0.$$

By the interpolation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \||D_1|^{-s}\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{s+1}} \||D_1|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2s}{s+1}} \leq E_s(t)^{\frac{1}{s+1}} D(t)^{\frac{s}{s+1}}, \\ \|\nabla^2\psi\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq \||D|^{1+s}|D_1|^{-s}\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{s+1}} \|\nabla\partial_{x_1}\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2s}{s+1}} \leq E_s(t)^{\frac{1}{s+1}} D(t)^{\frac{s}{s+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$E(t) \leq C E_s(0)^{\frac{1}{s+1}} D(t)^{\frac{s}{s+1}},$$

that is,

$$D(t) \geq C^{-1} E_s(0)^{-\frac{1}{s}} E(t)^{1+\frac{1}{s}}.$$

This yields that

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) + C^{-1}E_s(0)^{-\frac{1}{s}}E(t)^{1+\frac{1}{s}} \leq 0,$$

which implies that

$$E(t) \leq E(0) \left(1 + \frac{1}{Cs}t\right)^{-s}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Remark 2.1. More general, one can show that for any $k \geq 0$,

$$\|\partial_{x_1}^k u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_{x_1}^k \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{s+k}{2}}.$$

[Proposition 2.1](#) can be directly proved by using the representation formula of the solution. Indeed, it is easy to see that u satisfies a damped wave equation

$$\partial_t^2 u - \Delta u_t - \partial_{x_1}^2 u = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad \partial_t u(0) = u_1.$$

Let $\lambda_{\pm}(\xi) = -\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4|\xi_1|^2}{|\xi|^4}})$. Then we get

$$\widehat{u}(t, \xi) = a(\xi)e^{t\lambda_+(\xi)} + b(\xi)e^{t\lambda_-(\xi)},$$

with

$$a(\xi) = \frac{(\lambda_-(\xi)\widehat{u}_0(\xi) - \widehat{u}_1(\xi))}{|\xi|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4|\xi_1|^2}{|\xi|^4}}},$$

$$b(\xi) = -\frac{(\lambda_+(\xi)\widehat{u}_0(\xi) - \widehat{u}_1(\xi))}{|\xi|^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4|\xi_1|^2}{|\xi|^4}}}.$$

We find that as $|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$\lambda_-(\xi) \rightarrow -\frac{\xi_1^2}{|\xi|^2} \sim \begin{cases} -1, & |\xi| \sim |\xi_1|, \\ 0, & |\xi| \gg |\xi_1|. \end{cases}$$

So, the dissipation is very weak in the case of $|\xi| \gg |\xi_1|$.

We find from [Proposition 2.1](#) that the solution has the decay estimate when the initial data is bounded in the anisotropic Sobolev space. This motivates us to use the anisotropic Sobolev space to capture the dissipation of the solution. The role of the anisotropic Sobolev (Besov) spaces in the study of the Navier–Stokes system with anisotropic vertical viscosity appears in [6,11,12].

2.2. Anisotropic Littlewood–Paley analysis

Choose a nonnegative even function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ supported in $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ such that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi(2^{-j}|\xi|) = 1 \quad \text{for any } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

We need to introduce two classes of the frequency localization operators. The localization operators Δ_j and S_j in the full direction are defined by

$$\Delta_j f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}|\xi|)\widehat{f}), \quad S_j f = \sum_{j' \leq j-1} \Delta_{j'} f \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The localization operators Δ_j^h and S_j^h in the horizontal direction are defined by

$$\Delta_j^h f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi(2^{-j}|\xi_1|)\widehat{f}), \quad S_j^h f = \sum_{j' \leq j-1} \Delta_{j'}^h f \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We denote by $S'_h(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the set of the tempered distribution f satisfying

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \|\chi(\lambda D)f\|_{L^\infty} = 0,$$

for some $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\chi(0) \neq 0$.

Definition 2.1. Let $\sigma, s \in \mathbb{R}$. The anisotropic Sobolev space $H^{\sigma,s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined by

$$H^{\sigma,s}(\mathbb{R}^2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in S'_h(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|f\|_{H^{\sigma,s}} < +\infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{H^{\sigma,s}} \triangleq \left\| \left\{ 2^{js} 2^{\sigma k} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h f\|_{L^2} \right\}_{j,k} \right\|_{\ell^2}.$$

It is easy to see that $\|f\|_{H^{\sigma,s}} \sim \||D|^s |D_1|^\sigma f\|_{L^2}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $\sigma, s \in \mathbb{R}$. The anisotropic Besov space $B^{\sigma,s}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is defined by

$$B^{\sigma,s}(\mathbb{R}^2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f \in S'_h(\mathbb{R}^2) : \|f\|_{B^{\sigma,s}} < +\infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{B^{\sigma,s}} \triangleq \left\| \left\{ 2^{js} 2^{\sigma k} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h f\|_{L^2} \right\}_{j,k} \right\|_{\ell^1}.$$

The norm of the anisotropic Lebesgue space $L_{x_1}^p L_{x_2}^q(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is given by

$$\|f\|_{L_{x_1}^p L_{x_2}^q} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\| \|f(x_1, x_2)\|_{L_{x_2}^q} \right\|_{L_{x_1}^p}.$$

In the sequel, we will constantly use the following anisotropic Bony's decomposition that

$$\begin{aligned} fg &= \sum_{j,k} S_{j-1} S_{k-1}^h f \Delta_j \Delta_k^h g + \sum_{j,k} S_{j-1} \Delta_k^h f \Delta_j S_{k-1}^h g \\ &\quad + \sum_j \sum_{|k'-k''| \leq 1} S_{j-1} \Delta_{k'}^h f \Delta_j \Delta_{k''}^h g + \sum_{j,k} \Delta_j S_{k-1}^h f S_{j-1} \Delta_k^h g \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h f S_{j-1} S_{k-1}^h g + \sum_j \sum_{|k'-k''| \leq 1} \Delta_j \Delta_{k'}^h f S_{j-1} \Delta_{k''}^h g \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 1} \sum_k \Delta_j S_{k-1}^h f \Delta_{j'} \Delta_k^h g + \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 1} \sum_k \Delta_j \Delta_k^h f \Delta_{j'} S_{k-1}^h g \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 1} \sum_{|k'-k''| \leq 1} \Delta_j \Delta_{k'}^h f \Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k''}^h g. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the choice of φ , there exists $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h(fg) &= \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h(S_{j'-1} S_{k'-1}^h f \Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h(S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h f \Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4} \sum_{|k'-k''| \leq 1, k', k'' > k - N_0} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h(S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h f \Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k''}^h g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h(\Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h f S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h(\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h f S_{j'-1} S_{k'-1}^h g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4} \sum_{|k'-k''| \leq 1, k', k'' > k - N_0} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h(\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h f S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k''}^h g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j'-j''| \leq 1, j', j'' > j - N_0} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 4} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h(\Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h f \Delta_{j''} \Delta_{k'}^h g) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j'-j''| \leq 1, j', j'' > j - N_0} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 4} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h(\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h f \Delta_{j''} S_{k'-1}^h g) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{|j'-j''| \leq 1, j', j'' > j - N_0} \sum_{|k'-k''| \leq 1, k', k'' > k - N_0} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h f \Delta_{j''} \Delta_{k''}^h g) \\
& \triangleq \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^1(f,g) + \cdots + \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^9(f,g).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The following Bernstein's lemma will be constantly used [1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ and $R > 0$, $c \in (0, 1)$. Assume that $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then there exists a constant C independent of f , R such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \{|\xi| \leq R\} & \Rightarrow \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^q} \leq CR^{|\alpha|+d(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}\|f\|_{L^p}, \\
\text{supp } \hat{f} \subset \{cR \leq |\xi| \leq R\} & \Rightarrow \|f\|_{L^p} \leq CR^{-|\alpha|} \sup_{|\beta|=|\alpha|} \|\partial^\beta f\|_{L^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to infer from Lemma 2.1 that for $q_1, q_2 \geq 2$,

$$\|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h f\|_{L_{x_2}^{q_1} L_{x_1}^{q_2}} \leq C 2^{j(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_1})} 2^{k(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q_2})} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h f\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.5}$$

We refer to the book [6] for more details about the Littlewood–Paley analysis.

3. Decay estimates for the nonlinear system

Motivated by the analysis of the linear system, we introduce the following energy

$$\begin{aligned}
D_0(t) & \triangleq \|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\epsilon_1 \langle u^2, \Delta \psi \rangle, \\
H_0(t) & \triangleq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_1 \|\partial_{x_1} \nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^2 - \epsilon_1 \|\nabla u^2\|_{L^2}^2 - \epsilon_1 \langle \Delta u^2, \Delta \psi \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and for $\ell = 1, 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\ell(t) & \triangleq \sum_{j,k} 2^{2k\ell} (\|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \quad + 2\epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^2, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle), \\
H_\ell(t) & \triangleq \sum_{j,k} 2^{2k\ell} (\|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \epsilon_1 \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \quad - \epsilon_1 \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla u^2\|_{L^2}^2 - \epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle).
\end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper, $\epsilon_1 > 0$ is taken such that for some $c > 0$,

$$D_\ell(t) \geq c (\|\partial_{x_1}^\ell \nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1}^\ell \nabla^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1}^\ell u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1}^\ell \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2), \tag{3.1}$$

$$H_\ell(t) \geq c (\|\partial_{x_1}^\ell \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1}^\ell \nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1}^{\ell+1} \nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^2), \tag{3.2}$$

for $\ell = 0, 1, 2$.

Proposition 3.1. Assume that the solution (ψ, u) of the system (2.1) satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{H^4} + \|\nabla\psi(t)\|_{H^4} \leq c_0, \quad (3.3)$$

for $t \in [0, T]$. If c_0 is suitable small, then for some $c > 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}D_0(t) + cH_0(t) \leq 0,$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. From the proof of Proposition 2.1, we know that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} D_0(t) + H_0(t) = F,$$

where F is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F = & \langle u \cdot \nabla\psi, \Delta\psi \rangle - \langle u \cdot \nabla\psi, \Delta^2\psi \rangle - \epsilon_1 \langle u \cdot \nabla\psi, \Delta u^2 \rangle - \epsilon_1 \langle u \cdot \nabla u^2, \Delta\psi \rangle \\ & - \langle u \cdot \nabla u, u \rangle + \langle u \cdot \nabla u, \Delta u \rangle - \langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \otimes \nabla\psi), u \rangle \\ & + \langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \otimes \nabla\psi), \Delta u \rangle - \epsilon_1 \langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \partial_{x_2}\psi), \Delta\psi \rangle - \epsilon_1 \langle \partial_{x_2}p, \Delta\psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts and $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, it is easy to see that

$$\langle u \cdot \nabla\psi, \Delta\psi \rangle - \langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \otimes \nabla\psi), u \rangle - \langle u \cdot \nabla u, u \rangle = 0.$$

By Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u \cdot \nabla u, \Delta u \rangle & \leq \|u\|_{L^4} \|\nabla u\|_{L^4} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \leq C c_0 H_0(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using the following Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}

$$\|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_x f\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u \cdot \nabla\psi, \Delta u^2 \rangle & \leq \|u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\nabla\psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_0 H_0(t), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u \cdot \nabla u^2, \Delta \psi \rangle &= -\langle \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^2, \nabla \psi \rangle - \langle u \cdot \nabla \nabla u^2, \nabla \psi \rangle \\
&\leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C c_0 H_0(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

By integration by parts and $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle u \cdot \nabla \psi, \Delta^2 \psi \rangle &= \langle \Delta u \cdot \nabla \psi, \Delta \psi \rangle + \langle u \cdot \nabla \Delta \psi, \Delta \psi \rangle + 2 \langle \partial_{x_i} u \cdot \nabla \partial_{x_i} \psi, \Delta \psi \rangle \\
&= \langle \Delta u \cdot \nabla \psi, \Delta \psi \rangle + 2 \langle \partial_{x_i} u \cdot \nabla \partial_{x_i} \psi, \Delta \psi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We just check the most troubled two terms

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial_{x_2}^2 u^2 \partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi \rangle &= -\langle \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} u^1 \partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi \rangle \\
&= \langle \partial_{x_2} u^1 \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} \psi, \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi \rangle + \langle \partial_{x_2} u^1 \partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2}^2 \partial_{x_1} \psi \rangle \\
&= \langle \partial_{x_2} u^1 \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} \psi, \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi \rangle - \langle \partial_{x_2}^2 u^1 \partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} \psi \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle \partial_{x_2} u^1 \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi, \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} \psi \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial_{x_2} u^2 \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi, \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi \rangle &= -\langle \partial_{x_1} u^1 \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi, \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi \rangle = 2 \langle u^1 \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi, \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi \rangle \\
&= -2 \langle \partial_{x_2} u^1 \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi, \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \psi \rangle - 2 \langle u^1 \partial_{x_2}^3 \psi, \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \psi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial_{x_2}^2 u^2 \partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi \rangle &\leq 2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \psi\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\leq C c_0 H_0(t),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \partial_{x_2} u^2 \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi, \partial_{x_2}^2 \psi \rangle &\leq c_0 H_0(t) + \|u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\partial_{x_2}^3 \psi\|_{L_{x_1}^\infty L_{x_2}^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq c_0 H_0(t) + \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_{x_2}^5 \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq c_0 H_0(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the following interpolation argument

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\partial_{x_2}^3 \psi(x_1, \cdot)\|_{L_{x_2}^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\partial_{x_2}^3 \psi(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_2 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \partial_{x_2}^3 \partial_{x_1} \psi \partial_{x_2}^3 \psi dx_1 dx_2 \\
&= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{x_1} \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} \psi \partial_{x_2}^5 \psi dx_1 dx_2 \leq 2 \|\partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\partial_{x_2}^5 \psi\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts and $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \otimes \nabla\psi), \Delta u \rangle &= \langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta u^1 \rangle + \langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \partial_{x_2} \psi), \partial_{x_1}^2 u^2 \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \partial_{x_2} \psi), \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} u^1 \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

where the most troubled term is

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta u^1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta\psi \partial_{x_1} \psi, \Delta u^1 \rangle + \langle \nabla\psi \cdot \nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi, \Delta u^1 \rangle \\ &\leq \|\Delta\psi\|_{L_{x_1}^\infty L_{x_2}^2} \|\partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3 \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}) \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq Cc_0 H_0(t).\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $p_2 = -\frac{\operatorname{div} \operatorname{div}}{\Delta}(\nabla\psi \otimes \nabla\psi)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta\psi \rangle + \langle \partial_{x_2} p_2, \Delta\psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \partial_{x_1} (\partial_{x_1} \psi \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta\psi \rangle + \langle \partial_{x_2} (\partial_{x_2} \psi \partial_{x_1} \psi), \partial_{x_1}^2 \psi \rangle \\ &\quad - \left\langle \frac{\partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} \operatorname{div}}{\Delta} (\partial_{x_1} \psi \nabla\psi), \Delta\psi \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1}}{\Delta} (\partial_{x_2} \psi \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta\psi \right\rangle,\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

from which, it is easy to get

$$\langle \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta\psi \rangle + \langle \partial_{x_2} p_2, \Delta\psi \rangle \leq Cc_0 H_0(t).$$

Similar to (3.4), we have

$$\langle \partial_{x_2} p_1, \Delta\psi \rangle \leq Cc_0 H_0(t).$$

Summing up, we conclude the proof. \square

The proof of Proposition 3.1 does not work for the case of $\ell = 1, 2$. Indeed, if we use the energy method of Proposition 3.1, then we have to bound

$$\langle u \cdot \nabla\psi, \partial_{x_1}^2 \Delta\psi \rangle \leq CH_1(t),$$

which seems impossible. Instead, we use the anisotropic Littlewood–Paley decomposition. We denote

$$e(t) \triangleq \|(u, \nabla\psi)\|_{H^{-s, -s}} + \|(u, \nabla\psi)\|_{H^{-s, 8}} + \|(u, \nabla\psi)\|_{H^8}.$$

Proposition 3.2. Assume that the solution (ψ, u) of the system (2.1) satisfies

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} e(t) \leq c_0.$$

If c_0 is suitably small, then for some $c > 0$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_\ell(t) + c H_\ell(t) \leq 0,$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $\ell = 1, 2$.

Proof. Direct calculations yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^2 \\ + 2\epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^2, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle) + (\|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ + \epsilon_1 \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}^2 - \epsilon_1 \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla u^2\|_{L^2}^2 - \epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle) \\ \triangleq I_1 + \cdots + I_{10}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where I_i is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (u \cdot \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle, & I_2 &= -\langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (u \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u \rangle, \\ I_3 &= -\langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \operatorname{div}(\nabla \psi \otimes \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u \rangle, & I_4 &= -\langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (u \cdot \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta^2 \psi \rangle, \\ I_5 &= \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (u \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u \rangle, & I_6 &= \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \operatorname{div}(\nabla \psi \otimes \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u \rangle, \\ I_7 &= -\epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (u \cdot \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2 \rangle, & I_8 &= -\epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (u \cdot \nabla u^2), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle, \\ I_9 &= -\epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \operatorname{div}(\nabla \psi \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle, & I_{10} &= -\epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_2} p, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The equality (3.6) together with Lemma A.1–Lemma A.10 implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_\ell(t) + H_\ell(t) \leq C c_0 H_\ell(t).$$

The proposition follows by taking c_0 small. \square

Now we are in a position to derive the decay estimate of the solution. We set

$$\mathcal{E}_{s,k}(t) = E_{s,0}(t) + E_{s,k+s}(t),$$

where E_{s,s_1} is defined by (4.1).

Proposition 3.3. Assume that the solution (ψ, u) of the system (2.1) satisfies

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} e(t) \leq c_0, \quad \sup_{t \in [0, T], k=0,1,2} \mathcal{E}_{s,k}(t) \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

If c_0 is suitably small, then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_{x_1}^k \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_{x_1}^k \nabla^2 \psi\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_{x_1}^k u\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_{x_1}^k \nabla u\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{-\frac{s+k}{2}}, \\ & \int_0^t (\|\partial_{x_1}^k \nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1}^k \nabla^2 u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1}^{k+1} \nabla \psi(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2) d\tau \leq C\varepsilon^2, \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $k = 0, 1, 2$, where C is a constant independent of ε, t .

Proof. Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 yield that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} D_k(t) + cH_k(t) \leq 0. \quad (3.7)$$

This gives the second inequality by (3.2). From the interpolation argument of Proposition 2.1, we find that

$$D_k(t) \leq C\mathcal{E}_{s,k}(t)^{\frac{1}{s+k+1}} H_k(t)^{\frac{s+k}{s+k+1}} \leq C\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{s+k+1}} H_k(t)^{\frac{s+k}{s+k+1}},$$

which along with (3.7) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} D_k(t) + c\varepsilon^{-\frac{2}{s+k}} D_k(t)^{1+\frac{1}{s+k}} \leq 0.$$

This implies the first inequality by (3.1). \square

4. High order energy estimates

The high order energy $E_{s,s_1}(t)$ is defined by

$$E_{s,s_1}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \|u(t)\|_{H^{-s,s_1}}^2 + \|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{H^{-s,s_1}}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}}^2 + \|\nabla \psi(t)\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}}^2. \quad (4.1)$$

We denote

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t) &\triangleq \|\psi(t)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}} + \|\psi(t)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|u(t)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|u(t)\|_{L^2}, \\ g_2(t) &\triangleq \|u(t)\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}}, \\ g_3(t) &\triangleq \|u(t)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}} + \|u(t)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|\psi(t)\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 + \|\psi(t)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, 1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.1. Let $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$, $s_1 > -\frac{1}{2}$. Assume that (u, ψ) is a solution of the system (2.1) on $[0, T]$. There exists $c_1 > 0$ such that if $g_1(t) \leq c_1$ for $t \in [0, T]$, then

$$E_{s,s_1}(t) \leq CE_{s,s_1}(0) + C \int_0^t (g_2(\tau) + g_3(\tau)^2) E_{s,s_1}(\tau) d\tau,$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. The energy equality (3.6) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s,s_1}(t) &+ c(\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2(H^{-s,s_1})}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2(H^{-s,s_1+1})}^2 + \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L_t^2(H^{-s,s_1})}^2) \\ &\leq E_{s,s_1}(0) + \sum_{j,k} 2^{2s_1 j} 2^{-2ks} \int_0^t (I_1(\tau) + \dots + I_{10}(\tau)) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We infer from Lemma A.11–Lemma A.15 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j,k} 2^{2s_1 j} 2^{-2ks} \int_0^t (I_1(\tau) + \dots + I_{10}(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\leq \left(C \sup_{\tau \in [0,t]} g_1(\tau) + \frac{c}{2} \right) (\|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2(H^{-s,s_1})}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^2(H^{-s,s_1+1})}^2 + \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L_t^2(H^{-s,s_1})}^2) \\ &\quad + C \int_0^t (g_2(\tau) + g_3(\tau)^2) E_{s,s_1}(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Then the proposition follows by taking c_1 suitably small. \square

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The following local well-posedness can be proved by using the standard energy method. So we omit its proof.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\psi = \phi - x_2$. Assume that the initial data (ψ_0, u_0) satisfies $(\nabla \psi_0, u_0) \in H^8(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $(\nabla \psi_0, u_0) \in H^{-s,-s} \cap H^{-s,8}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then there exists $T > 0$ such that the MHD system (1.2) has a unique solution (ψ, u) on $[0, T]$ satisfying

$$(\nabla \psi, u) \in C([0, T]; H^8(\mathbb{R}^2)), \quad (\nabla \psi, u) \in C([0, T]; H^{-s,-s} \cap H^{-s,8}(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

Now let us assume that the solution (ψ, u) satisfies

$$\mathcal{E}_s(t) \triangleq E_{0,7}(t) + E_{s,-s}(t) + E_{s,7}(t) \leq C_1 \varepsilon^2, \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (5.1)$$

In order to use Proposition 3.3, we take ε small enough such that $C_1 \varepsilon \leq c_0$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $g_i(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) be given by Section 4. If $s > \frac{1}{3}$, then we have

$$g_1(t) \leq C\varepsilon, \quad \int_0^t g_2(\tau)d\tau \leq C\varepsilon, \quad \int_0^t g_3(\tau)^2 d\tau \leq C\varepsilon^2$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$, where C is a constant independent of ε, t .

Proof. By the definition of B^{s,s_1} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}} &\leq \sum_{k \leq j} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \psi\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_j 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \psi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^3 \psi\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \leq C(\|u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} &\leq \sum_{k \leq j} 2^{\frac{1}{2}j} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \psi\|_{L^2} \leq \sum_k \|\Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C(\||D_1|^{-s} \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_{x_1} \nabla \psi\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

So, we conclude by (5.1) that

$$g_1(t) \leq C(E_{0,3}(t) + E_{s,0}(t))^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Next we consider $g_2(t)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} &\leq \sum_{k \leq j} 2^{\frac{1}{2}j} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_j 2^{\frac{1}{2}j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Delta_j \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} + C \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we get by Proposition 3.3 and $s > \frac{1}{3}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t g_2(\tau)d\tau &\leq C \left(\int_0^t \|\partial_{x_1}^2 u(\tau)\|_{H^1}^{\frac{6}{7}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{7}{8}} \left(\int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{H^1}^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \left(\int_0^t (1 + \tau)^{-\frac{6+3s}{7}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{7}{8}} \leq C\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, let us consider $g_3(t)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}} &\leq \sum_{k \leq j} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_j 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_j \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^\delta, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Taking $\delta < \frac{s}{2}$, we infer from [Proposition 3.3](#) and [\(5.1\)](#) that

$$\int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}}^2 d\tau \leq C\varepsilon^2 \left(\int_0^t (1+t)^{-(1+s)} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\varepsilon^2 \left(\int_0^t (1+t)^{-\frac{1+s}{1+2\delta}} d\tau \right)^{\frac{1+2\delta}{2}} \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} &\leq \sum_{k \leq j} 2^{\frac{1}{2}j} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_j 2^{\frac{1}{2}j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_j \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C \|\partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \|u\|_{L^2}^\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we also have

$$\int_0^t \|u(\tau)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}}^2 d\tau \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, 1}} &\leq \sum_{k \leq j} 2^j 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \psi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sum_j 2^{\frac{1}{2}j} \|\Delta_j \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_j \nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|D_1|^{-s} \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 \psi\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we get

$$\int_0^t \|\psi(\tau)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, 1}}^4 d\tau \leq C\varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau$$

$$\leq C\varepsilon^4 \int_0^t (1+t)^{-1-s} d\tau \leq C\varepsilon^4.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}} \leq C\|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^3 \psi\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^t \|\psi(\tau)\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}}^4 d\tau \leq C\varepsilon^4.$$

Summing up, we conclude that

$$\int_0^t g_3(\tau)^2 d\tau \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

The proof is finished. \square

Now we are in a position to complete the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). Take ε small such that $C\varepsilon \leq c_1$. Then we infer from [Proposition 4.1](#) and [Lemma 5.1](#) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_s(t) &\leq C\mathcal{E}_s(0) + C \int_0^t (g_2(\tau) + g_3(\tau)^2) \mathcal{E}_s(\tau) d\tau \\ &\leq C\mathcal{E}_s(0) + C\varepsilon \sup_{\tau \in [0, T]} \mathcal{E}_s(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\varepsilon > 0$ small such that $C\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\mathcal{E}_s(t) \leq 2C\mathcal{E}_s(0) \leq 2C\varepsilon^2.$$

Hence, we conclude [Theorem 1.1](#) by a continuous argument.

Acknowledgments

Jiahong Wu and Zhifei Zhang thank the profitable discussions with Professors Ping Zhang and Zhen Lei. J. Wu acknowledges the support by NSF Grant DMS1209153. Z. Xiang is partially supported by NSFC under Grant 11101068, Sichuan Youth Science & Technology Foundation under Grant 2011JQ0003 and SRF for ROCS, SEM. Z. Zhang is partly supported by NSFC under Grant 11371037, Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University and Fok Ying Tung Education Foundation.

Appendix A

This appendix is devoted to proving nonlinear estimates for the energy estimates in Section 3 and Section 4. Throughout this section, we denote by $\{c_{j,k}\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ a sequence satisfying $\|\{c_{j,k}\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^1} \leq 1$.

A.1. Nonlinear estimates for the decay energy $D_1(t)$

Recall that

$$H_1(t) \geq c(\|\partial_{x_1} \nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1} \nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1}^2 \nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^2),$$

and

$$e(t) = \|(u, \nabla \psi)\|_{H^{-s,-s}} + \|(u, \nabla \psi)\|_{H^{-s,8}} + \|(u, \nabla \psi)\|_{H^8}.$$

In what follows, we take s_1 such that

$$0 < s_1 \leq s, \quad s_2 = \frac{3}{2\theta} - 2 \leq s \quad \text{with } \theta = \frac{1+2s_1}{2+2s_1},$$

which is possible by recalling $s = \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ and taking ϵ small enough.

Lemma A.1.

$$I_1 + I_4 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} e(t) H_1(t).$$

Proof. By integration by parts, we rewrite I_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -\langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle - \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (u^1 \partial_{x_1} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle [\Delta_j \Delta_k^h, u^2] \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle - \langle u^2 \partial_{x_2} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ &\triangleq I_1^1 + I_1^2 + I_1^3 + I_1^4. \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Step 1. Estimate of I_1^1 .

Using Bony's decomposition (2.4) and $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle &= \sum_{m=1}^9 \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m (\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m (\partial_{x_1} u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_1} \psi \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m (\partial_{x_1} u^1, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_2} \psi \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

We get by (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^1(\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\
& \leq \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|S_{j'-1} S_{k'-1}^h u^1\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h \nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{H^{0,-s}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{3}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} & \leq \sum_j 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\Delta_j \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C (\|u\|_{H^{0,-s}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^1(\partial_{x_1} u^1, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_2} \psi \rangle + \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^1(\partial_{x_1} u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_1} \psi \rangle \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{H^{-s,0}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} H_1(t),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle + \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^3(\nabla u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{H^{-s,0}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} H_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

For the other two terms of $m = 2$, we get by Sobolev's inequality and the interpolation that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(\partial_{x_1} u^1, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_2} \psi \rangle + \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(\partial_{x_1} u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_1} \psi \rangle \\
& \leq \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \partial_{x_2}\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\partial_{x_1} |D|^{-1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\partial_{x_1} \nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} H_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.5) and the interpolation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^4(\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\
& \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|\partial_{x_1} \Delta_{k'}^h \psi\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla u\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq Cc_{j,k}2^{-2k}\|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{2-\frac{1}{\theta},-\frac{1}{2\theta}}}^\theta\|\nabla\partial_{x_1}^2\psi\|^{1-\theta}\||D_1|^{-s_1}\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta}\|\nabla\partial_{x_1}u\|_{L^2}^\theta\|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\ &\leq Cc_{j,k}2^{-2k}\|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{-s_2,0}}^\theta\|u\|_{H^{-s_1,1}}^{1-\theta}H_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

While for $m = 5, 6, 8, 9$, it is easy to see that

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^i, \partial_{x_i}\psi), \Delta_j\Delta_k^h\nabla\psi \rangle \leq Cc_{j,k}2^{-2k}\|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}}H_1(t),$$

and for $m = 4, 7$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\partial_{x_1}u^2, \partial_{x_2}\psi), \Delta_j\Delta_k^h\partial_{x_1}\psi \rangle + \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\partial_{x_1}u^1, \partial_{x_2}\psi), \Delta_j\Delta_k^h\partial_{x_2}\psi \rangle \\ &\leq Cc_{j,k}2^{-2k}\|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}}H_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

We infer from (2.5) and (A.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^7(\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1}\psi), \Delta_j\Delta_k^h\nabla\psi \rangle \\ &\leq C \sum_{|j'-j''|\leq 1, j', j''>j-N_0} \sum_{|k-k'|\leq 4} 2^{\frac{j}{2}}\|\Delta_{j'}\Delta_{k'}^h\nabla\partial_{x_1}\psi\|_{L^2}\|\Delta_{j''}u^1\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty}\|\Delta_j\Delta_k^h\nabla\psi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq Cc_{j,k}2^{-2k}\|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}}\|\nabla\partial_{x_1}\psi\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\ &\leq Cc_{j,k}2^{-2k}(\|u\|_{H^{0,-s}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}H_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Estimate of I_1^2 and I_1^4 .

Using Bony's decomposition (2.4), (2.5) and (A.3), it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^2 &\leq Cc_{j,k}2^{-2k}\|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}}\|\nabla\partial_{x_1}\psi\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\ &\leq Cc_{j,k}2^{-2k}(\|u\|_{H^{0,-s}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}H_1(t), \end{aligned}$$

and by integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^4 &= -2\langle u^1\partial_{x_1}\Delta_j\Delta_k^h\nabla\psi, \Delta_j\Delta_k^h\nabla\psi \rangle \\ &\leq Cc_{j,k}2^{-2k}(\|u\|_{H^{0,-s}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}H_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Estimate of I_1^3 .

Using Bony's decomposition (2.4), we write

$$\begin{aligned} &[\Delta_j\Delta_k^h, u^2]\partial_{x_2}\nabla\psi \\ &= \sum_{|j-j'|\leq 4, |k-k'|\leq 4} [\Delta_j\Delta_k^h, S_{j'-1}S_{k'-1}^h u^2](\Delta_{j'}\Delta_{k'}^h\partial_{x_2}\nabla\psi) \\ &\quad + \sum_{|j-j'|\leq 4, k'\geq k-N_0} [\Delta_j\Delta_k^h, S_{j'-1}\Delta_{k'}^h u^2](\Delta_{j'}S_{k'-1}^h\partial_{x_2}\nabla\psi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4} \sum_{|k'-k''| \leq 1, k', k'' > k - N_0} [\Delta_j \Delta_k^h, S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2] (\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k''}^h \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi) \\
& + \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^4(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi) + \cdots + \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^9(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi) \\
& + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{j,k}^4(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi) + \cdots + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{j,k}^9(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{j,k}^i$ for $i = 4, \dots, 9$ is similar to $\mathcal{B}_{j,k}^i$ like

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{j,k}^4(f, g) = \sum_{j' \geq j - N_0, |k - k'| \leq 4} \Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h f S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h \Delta_j \Delta_k^h g.$$

Using the fact $\nabla u^2 = (\partial_{x_1} u^2, -\partial_{x_1} u^1)$, it is easy to get

$$\sum_{m=4}^9 \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{j,k}^4(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\
& \leq C \sum_{j' \geq j - N_0, |k - k'| \leq 4} 2^j \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla u^2\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{j,k}^5(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\
& \leq C \sum_{j' \geq j - N_0, k' \geq k - N_0} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \|\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'} \nabla u^2\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $m = 6, 7, 8, 9$, we have

$$\langle \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{j,k}^m(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}.$$

Now we consider the commutator terms. Let $\check{\varphi}$ be the inverse Fourier transform of φ , and $\check{\varphi}_{2^j}(x) = 2^{2j} \check{\varphi}(2^j x)$, $\check{\varphi}_{2^j}(x_1) = 2^j \check{\varphi}(2^j x_1)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\Delta_j \Delta_k^h, S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2] (\Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi) \\
& = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \check{\varphi}_{2^j}(x - y) \check{\varphi}_{2^k}(x_1 - y_1) (S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2(y) - S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2(x)) \Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi(y) dy
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \check{\varphi}_{2j}(x-y) \check{\varphi}_{2k}(x_1-y_1)(x-y) \\
&\quad \cdot \nabla \int_0^1 S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2 (\tau y + (1-\tau)x) d\tau \Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi(y) dy,
\end{aligned}$$

from which and Young's inequality, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, k' \geq k-N_0} \| [\Delta_j \Delta_k^h, S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2] (\Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi) \|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, k' \geq k-N_0} 2^{-j} \|\nabla S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \\
&\leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, k' \geq k-N_0} 2^{k'} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty},
\end{aligned}$$

which along with (2.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \langle [\Delta_j \Delta_k^h, S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2] (\Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\
&\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\partial_{x_1}^3 |D|^{-3} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\partial_{x_1} \nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{7}{8}} \\
&\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{7}{8}} H_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

The other two commutator terms are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\
&\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{H^{-s,0}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} H_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Estimate of I_4 .

By integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &= -\langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (\Delta u \cdot \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle - 2 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (u^1 \cdot \partial_{x_1} \Delta \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle - \langle [\Delta_j \Delta_k^h, u^2] \partial_{x_2} \Delta \psi, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle u^2 \partial_{x_2} \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \triangleq I_4^1 + \cdots + I_4^5. \tag{A.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the estimates for I_4^i are very similar to those of Step 1–Step 3, we only record some main estimates. Following the proof of Step 1, we deduce that for $m = 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m (\Delta u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle &\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\Delta u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\
&\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{H^4}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{3}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 4, 5, 6$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\Delta u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2-\frac{1}{\theta}, -\frac{1}{2\theta}}}^\theta \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 \psi\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta} \| |D_1|^{-s_1} \nabla^2 u \|_{H^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}}}^{1-\theta} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^\theta \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s_2,0}}^\theta \|u\|_{H^{-s_1, \frac{3-2\theta}{1-\theta}}}^{1-\theta} H_1(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using $\Delta u^2 = \partial_{x_1}^2 u^2 - \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} u^1$, we deduce that for $m = 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\Delta u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}},$$

and for $m = 4, 5, 6$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\Delta u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}.$$

So, we obtain

$$I_4^1 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{H^4} + \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^3} + \|u\|_{H^{-s_1, \frac{3-2\theta}{1-\theta}}} + \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s_2,0}}) H_1(t).$$

Now we consider I_4^2 . For $m = 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{H^3}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{3}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 4, 5, 6$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla^2 u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{H^4}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\nabla u^2 = (\partial_{x_1} u^2, -\partial_{x_2} u^1)$, we deduce that for $m = 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^4}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 4, 5, 6$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}.$$

So, we obtain

$$I_4^2 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{H^4} + \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^4}) H_1(t).$$

For I_4^3 and I_4^5 , we have

$$I_4^3 + I_4^5 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{H^{0,-s}} + \|u\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^4}) H_1(t).$$

Following the proof of Step 3, we get

$$I_4^4 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^4}) H_1(t).$$

The proof of the lemma is finished. \square

Lemma A.2.

$$I_2 + I_5 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u(t)\|_{H^1} H_1(t).$$

Proof. We only check the most troubled terms

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \partial_{x_\ell} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(u^\ell, u^i), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^i \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{j'} u\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \partial_{x_\ell} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(u^\ell, u^i), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^i \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} 2^j \|\Delta_{k'}^h u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} u\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.3.

$$I_3 + I_6 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} e(t) H_1(t).$$

Proof. Due to $\operatorname{div} u = 0$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \operatorname{div}(\nabla \psi \otimes \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla^\alpha u \rangle \\ & = \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \operatorname{div}(\nabla \psi \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla^\alpha u^1 \rangle + \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_1}(\partial_{x_1} \psi \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla^\alpha u^2 \rangle \\ & \quad + \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_1}(\partial_{x_2} \psi \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla^\alpha u^1 \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

Case 1. $\alpha = 0$.

It follows from (2.5) that for $m = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$,

$$\langle \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2},$$

and by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^4(\nabla \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 1, \dots, 6$,

$$\langle \partial_{x_1} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2},$$

and for $m = 7$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \partial_{x_1} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j'-j''| \leq 1, j', j'' > j - N_0} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 4} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} 2^k \|\Delta_{j'} \partial_{x_2} \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j''} \Delta_{k'}^h \partial_{x_2} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{\frac{s}{3}}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{5}{4}} \|u\|_{H^{0,-s}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate for $m = 8, 9$ is similar.

Case 2. $\alpha = 2$.

For $m = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$, we have

$$\langle \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^1 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2},$$

and by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^4(\nabla \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^1 \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} 2^j \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{\frac{4}{3}}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_1}(\partial_{x_2} \psi \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla^2 u^1 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}. \quad \square$$

Lemma A.4.

$$I_7 + I_8 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} e(t) H_1(t).$$

Proof. We first consider I_7 . We have by (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^1(u^i, \partial_{x_i}\psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2 \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{H^{0,-s}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 3, 4, 7$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(u^i, \partial_{x_i}\psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2 \rangle \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{H^{0,-s}} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(u^i, \partial_{x_i}\psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2 \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 5, 6, 8, 9$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(u^i, \partial_{x_i}\psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Now we consider I_8 . Using $\nabla u^2 = (\partial_{x_1} u^2, -\partial_{x_1} u^1)$, we infer that for $m = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(u^i, \partial_{x_i} u^2), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}},$$

and by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^4(u^i, \partial_{x_i} u^2), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} 2^j \|\Delta_{j'} u\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla u^2\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.5.

$$I_9 - \epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_2} p_2, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} e(t) H_1(t).$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\nabla\psi\partial_{x_2}\psi) + \partial_{x_2}p_2 &= \partial_{x_1}(\partial_{x_1}\psi\partial_{x_2}\psi) - \frac{\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_1}\operatorname{div}}{\Delta}(\nabla\psi\partial_{x_1}\psi) \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_1}}{\Delta}(\partial_{x_1}\psi\partial_{x_2}\psi) + \frac{\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_1}}{\Delta}(\partial_{x_2}\psi\partial_{x_2}\psi). \end{aligned}$$

We check the terms

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \partial_{x_1}\mathcal{B}_{j,k}^1(\partial_{x_1}\psi, \partial_{x_2}\psi), \Delta_j\Delta_k^h\Delta\psi \rangle \\ &\leq C \sum_{|j-j'|\leq 4, |k-k'|\leq 4} 2^k \|\partial_{x_1}\psi\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'}\Delta_{k'}^h\nabla^2\psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j\Delta_k^h\nabla\psi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq Cc_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{0,-s}} + \|\nabla^2\psi\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^3\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and a similar estimate for $\langle \partial_{x_1}\mathcal{B}_{j,k}^3(\partial_{x_1}\psi, \partial_{x_2}\psi), \Delta_j\Delta_k^h\Delta\psi \rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \partial_{x_1}\mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(\partial_{x_1}\psi, \partial_{x_2}\psi), \Delta_j\Delta_k^h\Delta\psi \rangle \\ &\leq C \sum_{|j-j'|\leq 4, |k-k'|\leq 4} 2^k \|\partial_{x_1}\Delta_{k'}^h\psi\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'}\nabla^2\psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j\Delta_k^h\nabla\psi\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq Cc_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|D^{\frac{7}{3}}\psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{2,0}}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 4, \dots, 9$,

$$\langle \partial_{x_1}\mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\partial_{x_1}\psi, \partial_{x_2}\psi), \Delta_j\Delta_k^h\Delta\psi \rangle \leq Cc_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla\psi\|_{H^2} \|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^2.$$

Obviously, we have

$$\left\langle \Delta_j\Delta_k^h \left(\frac{\partial_{x_2}\partial_{x_1}\partial_{x_1}}{\Delta}(\partial_{x_2}\psi\partial_{x_2}\psi) \right), \Delta_j\Delta_k^h\Delta\psi \right\rangle \leq Cc_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|\nabla\psi\|_{H^2} \|\nabla\psi\|_{H^{2,0}}^2. \quad \square$$

A.2. Nonlinear estimates for the decay energy $D_2(t)$

Recall that

$$H_2(t) \geq c(\|\partial_{x_1}^2\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1}^2\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_{x_1}^3\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^2).$$

We will follow the proofs and notations of Lemma A.1–Lemma A.5 step by step. In what follows, we take s_1 such that

$$0 < s_1 \leq s, \quad s_2, s_3 \leq s, \quad s_2 + s_3 = \frac{3 - 6\theta_1}{2\theta_1} \quad \text{with } \theta_1 = \frac{2s_1 + 1}{2s_1 + 4},$$

which is also possible by taking ϵ small enough.

Lemma A.6.

$$I_1 + I_4 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} e(t) H_2(t).$$

Proof. Since the proof is similar to that of [Lemma A.1](#), we only present a sketch.

Step 1. Estimate of I_1^1 .

By [Lemma 2.1](#), we get for $m = 1, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} (\|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 0}} + \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 1}}) \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{5}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} & \leq \sum_j 2^{\frac{j}{6}} \||D_1|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\Delta_j \nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ & \leq C (\|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 0}} + \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 1}}) \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

For $m = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ & \leq \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h \nabla u^1\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}, \end{aligned}$$

and by [\(2.5\)](#),

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(\partial_{x_1} u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle + \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(\partial_{x_1} u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ & \leq \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_{x_1} u^2\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \partial_{x_2} \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{7}{6}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

By [\(2.5\)](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^4(\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|\partial_{x_1} \Delta_{k'}^h \psi\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla u\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3-\frac{1}{\theta_1}, -\frac{1}{2\theta_1}}}^{\theta_1} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^3 \psi\|^{1-\theta_1} \||D_1|^{-s_1} \nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta_1} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\theta_1} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s_2, -s_3}}^{\theta_1} \||D_1|^{-s_1} \nabla u\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta_1} H_2(t), \end{aligned}$$

and similar to (A.7), for $m = 4, 7$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\partial_{x_1} u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_1} \psi \rangle + \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\partial_{x_1} u^1, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_2} \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{7}{6}}. \end{aligned}$$

While for $m = 5, 6, 8, 9$, it is easy to see that

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^5(\nabla u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} H_2(t).$$

We get by (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^7(\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j'-j''| \leq 1, j', j'' > j - N_0} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 4} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \|\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h \partial_{x_1} \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_{j''} u^1\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} (\|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 0}} + \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 1}})^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{\frac{3}{2}}}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{5}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Estimate of I_1^2 and I_1^4 .

Using Bony's decomposition (2.4), (2.5) and (A.6), it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^2 + I_1^4 & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{5}{3}} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2k} (\|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 0}} + \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 1}})^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} H_2(t). \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Estimate of I_1^3 .

Using the fact $\nabla u^2 = (\partial_{x_1} u^2, -\partial_{x_1} u^1)$, it is easy to get for $m = 5, 6, 8, 9$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle + \langle \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{j,k}^m(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}, \end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 4, 7$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle + \langle \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{j,k}^m(u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{7}{6}}. \end{aligned}$$

For the commutator terms, we only show the following term

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, k' \geq k - N_0} \|[\Delta_j \Delta_k^h, S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2] (\Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi)\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, k' \geq k - N_0} 2^{-j} \|\nabla S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, k' \geq k - N_0} 2^{k'} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u^2\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

from which, it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \langle [\Delta_j \Delta_k^h, S_{j'-1} \Delta_{k'}^h u^2] (\Delta_{j'} S_{k'-1}^h \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{7}{6}}. \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Estimate of I_4 .

First of all, we consider I_4^1 . Following the proof of Step 1, we deduce that for $m = 1, 7$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^1(\Delta u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\Delta u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{2,0}} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} (\|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 0}} + \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 3}})^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} H_2(t), \end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 1, 4, 7$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\Delta u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|u\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^3}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{4}{3}}.$$

For $m = 2, 3, 8, 9$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\Delta u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^3} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{H^1} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}},$$

and for $m = 5, 6$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\Delta u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^3} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}.$$

For $m = 4, 5, 6$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\Delta u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s_2, -s_3}}^{\theta_1} \|u\|_{H^{-s_1, \frac{3-2\theta_1}{1-\theta_1}}}^{1-\theta_1} H_2(t).$$

Now we consider I_4^2 . For the term $\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^i, \partial_{x_i} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle$ with $m = 4, \dots, 9$, the estimate is similar to that of I_4^1 . For $m = 1, 2, 3$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^1, \partial_{x_1} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} (\|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 0}} + \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 2}})^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^3}^{\frac{1}{3}} H_2(t), \\ \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^2, \partial_{x_2} \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|u\|_{H^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^3}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{5}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

The estimate of I_4^4 is the same as that of I_4^2 . For I_4^3 and I_4^5 , we have

$$I_4^3 + I_4^5 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} (\|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 0}} + \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 2}})^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^3}^{\frac{1}{3}} H_2(t). \quad \square$$

Lemma A.7.

$$I_2 + I_5 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} e(t) H_2(t).$$

Proof. We only show the most troubled terms

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2 \partial_{x_\ell} (u^\ell, u^i), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^i \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} 2^j \|\Delta_{k'}^h u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} u\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \partial_{x_\ell} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2 (u^\ell, u^i), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^i \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} 2^j \|\Delta_{k'}^h u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} u\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.8.

$$I_3 + I_6 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} e(t) H_2(t).$$

Proof. Using (A.5), we check two cases.

Case 1. $\alpha = 0$.

For $m = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$, we have

$$\langle \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m (\nabla \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2},$$

and by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^4 (\nabla \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla u\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 1, \dots, 6$, we have

$$\langle \partial_{x_1} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m (\partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2},$$

and by (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \partial_{x_1} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^7(\partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \\
& \leq C \sum_{|j'-j''| \leq 1, j', j'' > j - N_0} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 4} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} 2^k \|\Delta_{j'} \partial_{x_2} \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j''} \Delta_{k'}^h \partial_{x_2} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{\frac{3}{4}}}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate for $m = 8, 9$ is similar.

Case 2. $\alpha = 2$.

For $m = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$, we have

$$\langle \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^1 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2},$$

and by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^4(\nabla \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^1 \rangle \\
& \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} 2^j \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{\frac{6}{5}}}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we have

$$\langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_1} (\partial_{x_2} \psi \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla^2 u^1 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}. \quad \square$$

Lemma A.9.

$$I_7 + I_8 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} e(t) H_2(t).$$

Proof. We first consider I_7 . It follows from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^1(u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2 \rangle \\
& \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} (\|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 0}} + \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 1}})^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} H_2(t),
\end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 3, 4, 7$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} (\|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 0}} + \|u\|_{H^{-\frac{1}{4}, 1}})^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} H_2(t),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(u^i, \partial_{x_i}\psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2 \rangle \\
 & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla^2 \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

and for $m = 5, 6, 8, 9$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(u^i, \partial_{x_i}\psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta u^2 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Next we consider I_8 . Using $\nabla u^2 = (\partial_{x_1} u^2, -\partial_{x_1} u^1)$, we infer that for $m = 1, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(u^i, \partial_{x_i} u^2), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}},$$

and for $m = 2, 4$, by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(u^i, \partial_{x_i} u^2), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\
 & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} 2^j 2^k \|\Delta_{j'} u\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_{k'}^h u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1}^2 u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.10.

$$I_9 - \epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_2} p_2, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} e(t) H_2(t).$$

Proof. It follows from (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \partial_{x_1} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^1(\partial_{x_1} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\
 & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} 2^k \|\partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h \nabla^2 \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} (\|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s,0}} + \|\nabla^2 \psi\|_{L^2})^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla^4 \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle \partial_{x_1} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(\partial_{x_1} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\
 & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4, |k-k'| \leq 4} 2^k \|\partial_{x_1} \Delta_{k'}^h \psi\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j'} \nabla^2 \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi\|_{L^2} \\
 & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|D|^{\frac{11}{5}} \psi\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 4, \dots, 9$, we have

$$\langle \partial_{x_1} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\partial_{x_1} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^2.$$

It is obvious that

$$\left\langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \left(\frac{\partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_1}}{\Delta} (\partial_{x_2} \psi \partial_{x_2} \psi) \right), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \right\rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-4k} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^2} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{3,0}}^2. \quad \square$$

A.3. Nonlinear estimates for the high order energy

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(t) &= \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}} + \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|u\|_{L^2}, & g_2(t) &= \|u\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}}, \\ g_3(t) &= \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}} + \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} + \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, 1}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the notations of [Lemma A.1–Lemma A.5](#) and always assume that $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $s_1 > -\frac{1}{2}$.

Lemma A.11.

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} (g_1(t) \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} + g_2(t) \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}^2 \\ &\quad + g_3(t) \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} (g_1(t) \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} + g_2(t) \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}^2 \\ &\quad + g_3(t) (\|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} + \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}) \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We write I_4 as

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &= -\langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (\Delta u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle - 2 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle [\Delta_j \Delta_k^h, u] \nabla \Delta \psi, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \triangleq I_4^1 + I_4^2 + I_4^3. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1. Estimate of I_4^1 .

It is easy to infer from [\(2.5\)](#) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^1(\Delta u \cdot \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle + \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(\Delta u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\ &\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and using $\Delta u^2 = \partial_{x_1}^2 u^2 - \partial_{x_2} \partial_{x_1} u^1$,

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^2(\Delta u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}^2.$$

By (2.5) and $s < \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^3(\Delta u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 1, k', k'' > k - N_0} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} 2^{-(\frac{1}{2}-s)k''} 2^{\frac{k'}{2}} \|\Delta_{k'}^h \nabla u\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \\ & \quad \times 2^{-k''s} \|\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k''}^h \nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $m = 4, 5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\Delta u^1, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}, \\ & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\Delta u^2, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^6(\Delta u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\ & \leq C \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 4} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 1, k', k'' > k - N_0} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} 2^{-(\frac{1}{2}-s)k''} 2^{-k's} \|\Delta_{j'} \Delta_{k'}^h \nabla^2 u\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad \times 2^{\frac{k''}{2}} \|\Delta_{k''}^h \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{L_{x_1}^2 L_{x_2}^\infty} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $s_1 > -\frac{1}{2}$, we can get the similar estimates as in $m = 4$ for $m = 7, 8, 9$.

Step 2. Estimate of I_4^2 and I_4^3 .

The estimates are almost the same as those of Step 1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} I_4^2 + I_4^3 & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} (g_1(t) \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} + g_2(t) \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}^2 \\ & \quad + g_3(t) (\|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} + \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}) \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Estimate of I_1 .

We write I_1 as

$$I_1 = -\langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle - \langle [\Delta_j \Delta_k^h, u] \nabla \nabla \psi, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \triangleq I_1^1 + I_1^2.$$

For $m = 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}}.$$

While for $m = 4, 5, 6$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla u^i, \partial_{x_i} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \nabla \psi \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2ks} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s, s_1}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s, s_1}}.$$

This gives the estimate of I_1^1 . The estimate of I_1^2 is similar. The proof of the lemma is finished. \square

In a similar way, the following lemmas can be proved by using Bony's decomposition (2.4) and Lemma 2.1. So, we just present a sketch.

Lemma A.12.

$$I_2 + I_5 \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} \|u\|_{L^2} (\|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1}}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}}^2).$$

Proof. Due to $s < \frac{1}{2}$ and $s_1 > -\frac{1}{2}$, it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} \|u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1}}^2, \\ I_5 &\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} \|u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma A.13.

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} (g_1(t) \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} + g_3(t) \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \\ &\quad + \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},1}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+\frac{1}{2}}}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_6 &\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} (g_1(t) \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}} \\ &\quad + g_3(t) \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $m = 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9$, we have

$$\langle \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\nabla \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1}},$$

and

$$\langle \operatorname{div} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^4(\nabla \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1}},$$

and for $m = 1, \dots, 6$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_{x_1} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle &\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \\ &\quad + C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \partial_{x_1} \mathcal{B}_{j,k}^m(\partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_2} \psi), \Delta_j \Delta_k^h u^1 \rangle \\
& \leq C \sum_{|j'-j''| \leq 1, j', j'' > j - N_0} \sum_{|k-k'| \leq 4} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} 2^k \|\Delta_{j'} \partial_{x_2} \psi\|_{L_{x_2}^2 L_{x_1}^\infty} \|\Delta_{j''} \Delta_{k'}^h \partial_{x_2} \psi\|_{L^2} \|\Delta_j \Delta_k^h u\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},1}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+\frac{1}{2}}} .
\end{aligned}$$

The estimate for $m = 8, 9$ is similar. This gives the estimate of I_3 . The estimate of I_6 is similar. Here we omit the details. \square

Lemma A.14.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_7 + I_8 & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} (g_1(t) \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}} \\
& \quad + g_3(t) \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}}) .
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_7 & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} (\|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}} \\
& \quad + \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}}), \\
I_8 & \leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} \|u\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}} \|\nabla u\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}} . \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma A.15.

$$\begin{aligned}
I_9 - \epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_2} p_2, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\
\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} (g_1(t) \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}}^2 + g_3(t) \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}}) .
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using (3.5), it is easy to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_9 - \epsilon_1 \langle \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \partial_{x_2} p_2, \Delta_j \Delta_k^h \Delta \psi \rangle \\
\leq C c_{j,k} 2^{-2s_1 j} 2^{2sk} (\|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2}}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}}^2 \\
+ \|\psi\|_{B^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{2}}} \|\nabla \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1+1}} \|\nabla \partial_{x_1} \psi\|_{H^{-s,s_1}}) . \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, R. Danchin, Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. (Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences), vol. 343, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [2] H. Cabannes, Theoretical Magneto-Fluid Dynamics, Academic Press, New York, London, 1970.
- [3] F. Califano, C. Chiuderi, Resistivity-independent dissipation of magnetohydrodynamic waves in an inhomogeneous plasma, Phys. Rev. E 60 (Part B) (1999) 4701–4707.
- [4] C. Cao, D. Regmi, J. Wu, The 2D MHD equations with horizontal dissipation and horizontal magnetic diffusion, J. Differential Equations 254 (2013) 2661–2681.
- [5] C. Cao, J. Wu, Global regularity for the 2D MHD equations with mixed partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion, Adv. Math. 226 (2011) 1803–1822.

- [6] J.-Y. Chemin, B. Desjardins, I. Gallagher, E. Grenier, Fluids with anisotropic viscosity, *Modél. Math. Anal. Numér.* 34 (2000) 315–335.
- [7] D. Cordoba, C. Fefferman, Behavior of several two-dimensional fluid equations in singular scenarios, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 98 (2001) 4311–4312.
- [8] Y. Guo, I. Tice, Almost exponential decay of periodic viscous surface waves without surface tension, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 207 (2013) 459–531.
- [9] Z. Lei, On axially symmetric incompressible magnetohydrodynamics in three dimensions, arXiv: 1212.5968.
- [10] F. Lin, L. Xu, P. Zhang, Global small solutions of 2-D incompressible MHD system, arXiv: 1302.5877v2.
- [11] F. Lin, P. Zhang, Global small solutions to an MHD-type system: the three-dimensional case, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 67 (2014) 531–580.
- [12] M. Paicu, Équation anisotrope de Navier–Stokes dans des espaces critiques, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 21 (2005) 179–235.
- [13] M. Sermange, R. Temam, Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 36 (1983) 635–664.