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Complexification (traditional):
If $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a domain, $U \cap \mathbb{R}^{n} \neq \emptyset, f, g \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, and $f=g$ on $U \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
$\Rightarrow f \equiv g$

Complexification (traditional):
If $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a domain, $U \cap \mathbb{R}^{n} \neq \emptyset, f, g \in \mathcal{O}(U)$, and $f=g$ on $U \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
$\Rightarrow \quad f \equiv g$
Goes the other way too: If $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is real-analytic, $\Rightarrow \quad \exists U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ open, $V \subset U, F \in \mathcal{O}(U),\left.F\right|_{V}=f$.
Proof: Given real power series $\sum_{\alpha} c_{n}(x-p)^{n}$, plug in complex numbers: $\sum_{\alpha} c_{n}(z-p)^{n}$.
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Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real-analytic.
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$\Rightarrow \quad f \equiv g$.
Also goes the other way, if $f: V \subset D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real-analytic, then $f$ extends to a neighborhood of $V$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2 n}$.
We identify $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n}$ with $\iota(z)=(z, \bar{z})$.
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u(z, \bar{z})=\frac{f(z)+\bar{f}(\bar{z})}{2}, \text { WLOG } f(0)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(z)=2 u(z, 0)
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Example: $f(z, \bar{z})=\frac{1}{1+|z|^{2}}=\frac{1}{1+z \bar{z}}$ is real-analytic in $\mathbb{C}$.
The extension $f(z, \zeta)=\frac{1}{1+z \zeta}$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\{z \zeta=-1\}$.
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How to find $f$ ?
$u(z, \bar{z})=\frac{f(z)+\bar{f}(\bar{z})}{2}$, WLOG $f(0)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(z)=2 u(z, 0)$.
Remark: There is no good control of the neighborhood to which $f$ extends. Even in 1D: Given any interval $(a, b)$ and any neighborhood $U$ of $(a, b)$, there is an $F \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ that does not extend past any boundary point of $U$. So $f=\left.F\right|_{(a, b)}$ also cannot extend further.
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The proof feels like cheating so let's do it. Suppose $0 \in M$ and $M$ is real-analytic, then there is a holomorphic $\Phi(z, \zeta, w)$ in a nbhd of 0 in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}$, such that $M$ is
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\bar{w}=\Phi(z, \bar{z}, w)
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$\Phi, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z_{k}}, \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \zeta_{k}}$ vanish at 0 and $w=\bar{\Phi}(\zeta, z, \Phi(z, \zeta, w))$.
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Proposition: Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a domain with smooth boundary and $f: \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is smooth, holomorphic on $U$ and $\left.f\right|_{\partial u}$ is zero on a nonempty open subset. Then $f \equiv 0$.

Proof: Use Rado's theorem to extend as 0 outside ( $g$ in the picture), then use identity. $\square$

Theorem (Radó): If $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is open and $g: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ continuous and holomorphic on


$$
U^{\prime}=\{z \in U: g(z) \neq 0\} .
$$

Then $g \in \mathcal{O}(U)$.

## But can we extend (to at least one side)?

But can we extend (to at least one side)?
Example: Suppose $M=\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Define $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ :

$$
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Theorem (Baouendi-Trèves): Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a smooth real hypersurface, $p \in M$. Then there exists a compact neighborhood $K \subset M$ of $p$, such that for every $C R$ function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists a sequence $\left\{p_{\ell}\right\}$ of polynomials in $z$ such that
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p_{\ell}(z) \rightarrow f(z) \quad \text { uniformly in } K .
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The proof is based on the standard proof of Weierstrass theorem: If $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then it is approximated on $[0,1]$ by the entire functions
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for properly chosen $c_{\ell}$. Then just take partial sums of the power series.

A neat technique for extension is to approximate by polynomials.
There is a lot more general version, but let's just state the easy one.
Theorem (Baouendi-Trèves): Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a smooth real hypersurface, $p \in M$. Then there exists a compact neighborhood $K \subset M$ of $p$, such that for every $C R$ function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists a sequence $\left\{p_{\ell}\right\}$ of polynomials in $z$ such that

$$
p_{\ell}(z) \rightarrow f(z) \quad \text { uniformly in } K .
$$

Example: The $K$ depends only on $M$, but can't always be all of $M$ : E.g., $M=S^{1}$ and $f=\bar{z}$.

The proof is based on the standard proof of Weierstrass theorem: If $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, then it is approximated on $[0,1]$ by the entire functions

$$
f_{\ell}(z)=\int_{0}^{1} c_{\ell} e^{-\ell(z-t)^{2}} f(t) d t
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for properly chosen $c_{\ell}$. Then just take partial sums of the power series.
Baouendi-Trèves uses the same idea on a totally real subset of $M$ and slightly modified version of the above.
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Theorem (Lewy): Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is a smooth real hypersurface and $p \in M$. There exists a neighborhood U of $p$ with the following property. Suppose $r: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth defining function for $M \cap U$, denote by $U_{-} \subset U$ the set where $r$ is negative and $U_{+} \subset U$ the set where $r$ is positive. Let $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth $C R$ function. Then:
(i) If the Levi form with respect to $r$ has a positive eigenvalue at $p$, then $f$ extends to a holomorphic function on $U_{-}$continuous up to $M$
(ii) If the Levi form with respect to $r$ has a negative eigenvalue at $p$, then $f$ extends to a holomorphic function on $U_{+}$continuous up to $M$
(iii) If the Levi form with respect to $r$ has eigenvalues of both signs at $p$, then $f$ extends to a function holomorphic on $U$.

Remark: So if the Levi-form has eigenvalues of both signs, then every CR function is a restriction of a holomorphic function.
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we find an analytic disc $\Delta$ "attached" to $K \subset M$ (i.e., $\partial \Delta \subset K$ ).

One can fill a one-sided neighborhood by such discs.
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Remark: These ideas led Lewy to find the example of the unsolvable PDE.

Another application is a special case of the following theorem:
Theorem (Hartogs-Bochner): Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, n \geq 2$, is bounded open set with smooth boundary and $f: \partial U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a $C R$ function. Then there exists a continuous $F: \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic in $U$ such that $\left.F\right|_{\partial U}=f$.
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Example: Every $C R$ function on $S^{2 n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}, n \geq 2$, is the boundary value of a continuous $F: \overline{\mathbb{B}_{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is holomorphic in $\mathbb{B}_{n}$.

Example: The function $\bar{z}$ on $S^{1} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is not the boundary value of a holomorphic function in the disc; it would have a pole.

Example: Similarly, not true in general if $U$ is unbounded. If $U=\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$, then $\bar{z}_{1}$ is a $\mathbb{C}$ function, but does not extend inside for the same reason.

